IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF MARCH 2014

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.L.MANJUNATH

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI MALIMATH

<u>WRIT PETITION NOS.49080-82 OF 2013(S-CAT)</u>

<u>AND</u>

<u>WRIT PETITION NOS.55222-55223 OF 2013(S-CAT)</u>

BETWEEN:

- 1. Union of India
 By its Secretary
 Ministry of Personnel
 Public Grievances and
 Pensions, Department of
 Pensions and Pensioners Welfare,
 Lok Nayak Bhavan
 New Delhi 110 003.
- 2. The Secretary
 Ministry of Communication And IT,

Department of Telecommunications, Sanchar Bhavan, No.20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi – 110 001.

The Controller of Communication Accounts
 Department of Telecommunications
 I Floor Amenity Block
 Palace Road,
 Bangalore – 1.
 ...FETITIONERS

(By Sri N.Amaresh, ACGCC)

AND:

- 1. Sri G.R.Parthasarathy
 S/o late G.K.Rajarao
 Aged about 76 years
 Retired Chief Telecom Supervisor
 Bangalore Telecom District
 Residing at No.185/8, New No.51,
 1st Floor, Sneha Apartments, 2nd Cross,
 Devanathachar Street, Chamarajapet,
 Bangalore 560 018.
- 2. Sri H.N.Ranganath
 S/o H.Narayana Rao
 Aged about 75 years
 Retired Senior Telecom Supervisor
 Bangalore Telecom District
 Residing at No.734, 4th Cross,
 9th 'A' Main, RPC Layout,
 Vijayanagar, II Stage,
 Bangalore 560 040.
- 3. Sri A.Narayana Swamy

S/o late M.Aramugham
Aged about 76 years
Retired Senior Telephone Supervisor
Bangalore Telecom District
Residing at No.787,
III Main, HAL III Stage,
Bangalore – 560 075. ...RESPONDENTS

(By Sri A.R.Holla, Advocate)

These Writ Petitions are filed under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India Praying to quash the order dated 8.3.2013 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Bangalore Bench in OA.No.231/12 available at Annexure-A.

These Writ Petitions coming on for preliminary hearing this day. K.L.Manjunath J., made the following:-

ORDER

The learned counsel for the parties submit that the question involved in this petitions are identical to the question raised by the Union of India before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No.36148-36150/2013 and connected Civil Appeal No.8875-8876/2011. He

further submits that if the SLP filed by the Union of
India were to be dismissed, then the petitioners have to
comply with the order of the Central Administrative
Tribunal.

- 2. Accordingly, it is submitted that these petitions may be disposed off by directing the petitioners herein to comply with the directions issued by the Central Administrative Tribunal, after the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No.36148-36150/2013.
- 3. In view of the submission of the learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are disposed off directing the petitioners to comply with the directions issued by the Central Administrative Tribunal in these matter in the event the SLP filed by the Union of India were to be dismissed and if the SLP were to be

allowed, the order of the Central Administrative

Tribunal stands rejected.

Sd/-JUDGE

Sd/-JUDGE

JJ/-