

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL CHANDIGARH REGIONAL BENCH AT CHANDIMANDIR

OA No.314 of 2010- YS Nijjar & ors. V. Union of India & ors. OA No. 325 of 2010- Dildar Singh Sahi & ors. V. Union of India & ors OA No.327of 2010- Gurmeet Singh & ors V. Union of India & ors. OA No.277 of 2010- Romesh Chand & ors. V. Union of India & ors. OA No.476 of 2010- Karam Chand Verma & ors. V. Union of India & ors.

OA No.445 of 2010-Balwant Sinigh& ors. V. Union of India & ors.

OA No.312 of 2010- O.P.Singh & ors. V. Union of India & ors.

OA No.326 of 2010- Gurlochan Singh Deol & ors. V. Union of India & ors.

OA No.313 of 2010- MS Minhas & ors. V. Union of India & ors.

ORDER 1.11.2010

Coram:

Justice N. P. Gupta, Judicial Member.

Lt Gen N.S Brar (Retd), Administrative Member.

For the petitioner (s): Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate

Ms Balwinder Kaur, Advocate Mr.Surinder Sheoran, Advocate

Mr.BS Grewal, Advocate

For the respondents: Mr.Sauveer Sheokand, CGC Ms.Renu Bala Sharma, CGC Mr.Ram Chander.Sr. PC Mrs.Geeta Singhwal, CGC Mr.S.K.Sharma,Sr.PC Mr.Gurpreet Siingh, Sr.P.C

## JUSTICE N.P.GUPTA:

All these matters had come earlier on 14.9.2010 when it was brought to our notice that the controversy in this matter which is already pending with Principal Bench wherein one set of reply has been filed, and on that ground the case was adjourned and costs were imposed. In that view of the matter, the partes are bound by their statements to the effect that the controversy involved in this case is covered by, the matter which was pending before the Principal Bench, and the sa<mark>me has been deci</mark>ded vide judgment dated 14.9.2010 in IOA

W. Erico



No.270 of 2010 along with OA 24 of 2010.

We may recall that in the order dated 14.9.2010, it was also noticed that the matter before the Principal Bench was fixed on that date. In that view of the matter, all these petitions are decided on the same lines for the same reasons granting same reliefs and to the same effect as done by the Principal Bench in O.A.No.270 of 2010.

However, if any of the petitioner individually feels that his grievance had not been adjudicated in the judgment of the Principal Bench, it would be open to the individual petitioner (not in body), to seek appropriate relief.

In OA Nos.314, 326, 445 and 476 of 2010 costs have not been deposited so far, be deposited within a period of two weeks, failing which necessary steps be taken for effecting recovery.

"FREE COPY UNDER RULE 20 6" AFT (PROCEDURE) RULES 2006"

(Section Office

CHECKED BY

1.11.2010/dsa

A Secucial A Secucial

Sd/(Justice N.P.Gupta)

Sd/-

(Lt Gen N. S. Brar (Retd)